

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/652,324	08/29/2003	Gary W. Eisenhower	H0005124	1634	
7	7590 08/25/2004			EXAMINER	
Kris T. Fredrick			LEE, KYUNG S		
Honeywell International, Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
101 Columbia Rd. P.O. Box 2245			2832		
	Morristown, NJ 07962			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		\mathcal{M}_{ℓ}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/652,324	EISENHOWER, GARY W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kyung S. Lee	2832
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The section is FINAL. 2b ☐ The section is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objoine drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ∏ Interview S∟	ımmary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/OPER No(s)/Mail Date 0803. 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/652,324 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 5-8, 17-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (4,110,585) in view of Roeser (3,828,148).

Brown teaches a toggle switch comprising:

a toggle mechanism (fig. 1);

an actuator 8 within a tubular housing 4; and

a lead wire terminal assembly comprising a plurality of pin contacts 12, 14 and 16, which exit through a cover.

Brown teaches the claimed invention except for a plurality of switches located within the housing. Roeser teaches a toggle switch having a plurality of switch within the housing (contacts 19 and 19 in fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the switch device of Brown with plurality switches as taught by Roeser, since plurality switches of Roeser would provide the switch device of Brown multiple switching capability.

Regarding claim 2 Brown teaches a metal housing 4 (col. 2, line 34).

Regarding claim 5, cover 10 of Brown is metal (col. 2, lines 55; welded to housing).

Regarding claims 7-8, multiplicity of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper co. v. Bemis co., 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 20, intended use of the switch device does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte

Masham 2 USPQ 2d 1647.

3. Claims 3-4, 9-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Roeser as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Senor (4,376,926).

Brown and Roeser teach the claimed invention except for a glass-to metal seal sealing the header into the housing. Senor teaches a switch device having tubular metal-housing 12 (fig. 2) with header16 sealed by glass to metal (16.1 and 16.3) to provide hermetically sealed housing.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide switch device of Brown and Roeser with glass to metal seal of Senor, since the seal of Senor would provide the device of Brown and Roeser with hermetical sealed housing.

Regarding claims 9-16, steps claimed are deemed obvious in view of the functions of the structure in the combination discussed above.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to the attached PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyung S. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1994. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30AM to 2:00PM.

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kyung S. Lee Examiner Art Unit 2830

Page 4